

**JULY 2003 AGENDA**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>ACTION</b>
	<b>X</b>	<b>INFORMATION</b>
		<b>PUBLIC HEARING</b>
California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE): Including, but not limited to, Decision Required by AB 1609.		

**Recommendation:**

This item is being presented to the State Board of Education (SBE) for action as appropriate.

**Summary of Previous State Board of Education Discussion and Action**

Assembly Bill (AB) 1609 (Chapter 716, Statutes of 2001, Assemblyman Calderon) required the State Superintendent of Public Instruction (SSPI), with the approval of the SBE, to contract for an independent study regarding the requirement of passage of the CAHSEE as a condition of receiving a diploma of graduation and a condition of graduation from high school. A final report based on the study was delivered to the Governor, the chairs of the education policy committees in the California Legislature, the SBE, and the SSPI on May 1, 2003.

The study includes an examination of whether the test development process and the implementation of standards-based instruction meet the required standards for a test of this nature.

In March 2002, the SBE received a report on the status of the study required by AB 1609. The Human Resources Research Organization's (HumRRO) contract was amended to include this study. HumRRO presented the AB1609 report to the SBE at its May 2003 meeting. The SBE received additional information in June 2003 regarding the estimated math and English-language arts pass rates from the March 2003 administration of the CAHSEE and on the effects of compensatory models on these pass rates for all students tested and for subgroups. There were several cautions listed by Educational Testing Service (ETS) for the SBE in their consideration of a compensatory model.

**Summary of Key Issue(s)**

The key AB 1609 Study findings are as follows:

- Development of the CAHSEE meets all the required professional testing standards for use as a graduation test;
- CAHSEE has led to dramatically increased coverage of the California Content Standards at the high school and middle school levels and to development of new courses to provide help for students who have difficulty mastering these standards;
- Many courses of initial instruction and remedial courses only have limited effectiveness in helping students master the required standards;
- Many students placed in supplemental and remedial standards-based courses also would benefit from instruction in the prerequisite skills needed for success in these courses; and

---

**Summary of Key Issue(s)**

- Many factors suggest that the effectiveness of standards based instruction will improve for each succeeding class after the Class of 2004.

AB 1609 states that on or before August 1, 2003, the SBE may delay the date upon which each pupil completing grade 12 is required to successfully pass the CAHSEE as a condition of graduation from high school. The SBE will need to make its decision prior to August 1, 2003.

---

**Fiscal Analysis (as appropriate)**

None.

---

**Attachment(s)**

Attachment 1: [June 13, 2003 letter from Jack O'Connell to County and District Superintendents and California High School Exit Examination \(CAHSEE\) Coordinators](#)  
[Re: Cancellation of July 2003 CAHSEE Administration \(Pages 1-3\)](#)



**JACK O'CONNELL**  
State Superintendent of Public Instruction

CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT  
OF  
EDUCATION

1430 N Street

P.O. Box 944272

Sacramento, CA

94244-2720

June 13, 2003

To: County and District Superintendents  
California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) Coordinators

**Re: Cancellation of July 2003 CAHSEE Administration**

At its July meeting, the members of the State Board of Education (SBE) will take action on whether or not to delay the graduation requirements for the CAHSEE. I am aware that this July decision has caused confusion for school administrators, students, and parents regarding summer school scheduling and upcoming test administrations. At the July Board meeting, I will be recommending to Board members that they:

- Delay the graduation requirement to pass the CAHSEE until 2006
- Recognize those students who have passed both parts of the CAHSEE.
- Reduce both the length of the test and the number of days it is administered.

I am very encouraged by the March 2003 results from the Class of 2005. I congratulate you on your hard work and encourage you to continue the momentum toward raising standards and improving achievement. (See attached chart.)

I believe the board will vote to postpone the graduation requirement in July. In the meantime, we are set to administer the test at the end of July. In light of the confusion regarding the pending SBE decision, and to provide you flexibility in planning summer school programs, **I am canceling the July 2003 CAHSEE administration.** If, as anticipated, the SBE votes to delay the requirement, I also will cancel the September and November administrations. I strongly recommend that you encourage students who have not yet passed the CAHSEE to attend summer school. Students who have not mastered the knowledge and skills assessed on the CAHSEE could benefit from additional instruction this summer. By canceling the July administration, your parents and students can make an informed decision about what classes are best for them.

I am recommending that the SBE delay the graduation requirement for the classes of 2004 and 2005 because: (1) the Assembly Bill 1609 Study Report indicates "...many factors suggest that the effectiveness of standards-based instruction will improve for each succeeding class" [after the class of 2004]; and (2) I want to maintain the positive momentum created by the CAHSEE by requiring student in the class of 2006 to take it as 10<sup>th</sup> graders beginning in January 2004.

I am eliminating the July administration not only for this year but also for future years as the first step in developing a new CAHSEE testing schedule. We are in the process of finalizing this new schedule, which I am confident you will find more accommodating to your calendars. I have heard from many administrators that it is difficult to schedule the July test because it may not coincide with their scheduled summer school. Last year, schools ordered 166,000 tests for the July administration and only 46,000 students were tested.

Canceling the July 2003 test will:

- Reduce the testing burden for students and schools.
- Provide schools flexibility in scheduling summer school by giving them more time to examine student results and plan appropriate actions for students.
- Save schools money in this tight budget time.
- Provide more opportunity for instruction and remediation in English-language arts and mathematics.

The Assembly Bill 1609 Study Report also found that those schools that have closely aligned their curriculum to the content standards, reported passing rates of 75 percent or greater more frequently than schools without early implementation of the standards.

- As a second step to minimize the testing burden on students and schools, I am directing the CDE to put together a special committee to re-examine the CAHSEE and to recommend changes in order to reduce the length of the test.

I appreciate your continued support of this program. Please contact the High School Exit Examination Office at (916) 445-9449 if you have any questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jack O'Connell". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large initial "J" and "O".

JACK O'CONNELL

**CAHSEE Passing Rates for First-Time Test Takers in the Class of 2004 and 2005**

<b>Cohort</b>	<b>English-Language Arts</b>		
	<b>Number Tested</b>	<b>Number Passed</b>	<b>Percent Passed</b>
Class of 2004 (Spring 2001 – Grade 9 first-time test takers)	369,968	236,646	64%
Class of 2005 (March 2003 – Grade 10 first-time test takers)	379,209	299,584	79%

<b>Cohort</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>		
	<b>Number Tested</b>	<b>Number Passed</b>	<b>Percent Passed</b>
Class of 2004 (Spring 2001 – Grade 9 first-time test takers)	365,325	161,967	44%
Class of 2005 (March 2003 – Grade 10 first-time test takers)	389,702	234,230	60%